arXiv:hep-th/9604109v1 19 Apr 1996

N. Gurappa, C. Nagaraja Kumar and Prasanta. K. Panigrahi [*]

School of Physics,University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad - 500 046 (INDIA).

Abstract

We study a class of Calogero-Sutherland type one dimensional N-body quantum mechanical systems, with potentials given by

$$V(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_N) = \sum_{i<j} \frac{g}{(x_i - x_j)^2} - \frac{g'}{\sum_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)^2} + U(\sqrt{\sum_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)^2}),$$

where $U(\sqrt{\sum_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)^2})$'s are of specific form. It is shown that, only for a few choices of U, the eigenvalue problems can be solved *exactly*, for arbitrary g'. The eigen spectra of these Hamiltonians, when $g' \neq 0$, are non-degenerate and the scattering phase shifts are found to be energy dependent. It is further pointed out that, the eigenvalue problems are amenable to solution for wider choices of U, if g' is conveniently fixed. These conditionally exactly solvable problems also do not exhibit energy degeneracy and the scattering phase shifts can be computed *only* for a specific partial wave.

---

[*] email: panisp@uohyd.ernet.in

It has been more than twenty five years since Calogero formulated and solved one dimensional N-body problems with quadratic and/or inverse square, pairwise interacting potentials.[1] The former case, with the Hamiltonian ($\omega = 1$)

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial {x_i}^2} + \sum_{i<j}^{N} \frac{g}{(x_i - x_j)^2} + \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{i<j}^{N} (x_i - x_j)^2 \ , \tag{1}$$

yields a degenerate bound state spectrum with energy given by $E_{n,k} = 2n + k + E_0$. Here, n, k are integers and $E_0 = \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)(a+\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}(N-1)$ is the ground state energy; $a = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+2g}$. The purely inverse square potential gives rise to only scattering states, where the phase shifts are independent of energy. Although, this model was projected as a toy model, pending extension to higher dimensions, it has since been found to be of relevance in many other contexts e.g., spin systems,[2] fractional statistics,[3] conformal field theory and chaos.[4] Soon after Calogero's work, Sutherland extended this to a model, where interaction takes place on a circle.[5] Recently, another N-body problem with the potential,[6]

$$V(x_1, x_2, x_3 \cdots x_N) = \sum_{i<j} \frac{g}{(x_i - x_j)^2} - \frac{g''}{\sqrt{\sum_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)^2}} \ , \tag{2}$$

has been shown to be exactly solvable with degenerate spectrum: $E_{n,k} = -\frac{g''^2}{4N}\frac{1}{(n+k+b+\frac{1}{2})^2}$, where $b = \frac{1}{2}(N-3) + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)(a+\frac{1}{2})$. In addition to the pairwise 'centrifugal' term, this potential contains a N-body interaction term. It is then natural to ask, if there exists other potentials for which the N-body problems can be solved exactly. This is of importance, since exactly solvable N-particle systems with long-range interactions are few and far between. It is also of interest to find out the common origin of solvability of these models. The study of the degeneracy structure is also of significance because of its deep connection with symmetry.

In this letter, we present a class of potentials, containing both pairwise inverse square and N-body interaction terms, of the type

$$V(x_1, x_2, x_3 \cdots x_N) = \sum_{i<j} \frac{g}{(x_i - x_j)^2} - \frac{g'}{\sum_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)^2} + U(\sqrt{\sum_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)^2}) \ . \tag{3}$$

It is shown that, when $U = \frac{1}{4N}\sum_{i<j}^{N}(x_i - x_j)^2$ and $g' \neq 0$, the above generalized Calogero type model can also be solved *exactly* with $E_{n,k} = 2n + \lambda_k + \frac{3}{2}$. Here,

$\lambda_k = -\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{(k+b)^2 - \frac{g'}{N}}$. Similarly, when $U = -\frac{g''}{\sqrt{\sum_{i<j}(x_i-x_j)^2}}$, the above model generalizes that of Khare, with $E_{n,k} = -\frac{g''^2}{4N}\frac{1}{(n+\lambda_k+1)^2}$; λ_k is as given above. The striking feature is that, these generalized models do not exhibit energy level degeneracy. In the corresponding scattering problems, the phase shifts are found to be energy dependent unlike the example of the Calogero model. For arbitrary g', we have not found it possible to solve the eigenvalue problem for other many-body potentials.

However, we find that, for some other choices of U, the eigenvalue spectra can also be obtained exactly, provided the parameter g' takes suitable values. These models provide the N-particle analogs of the conditionally exactly solvable (CES) systems in one variable quantum mechanics.[7] The scattering phase shifts for these CES examples can be computed only for a given partial wave in the k channel and is found to be energy dependent.

The N-body Hamiltonian of interest describes identical particles of mass m in one dimension ($\hbar = 2m = 1;\ g > -\frac{1}{2}$)

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial {x_i}^2} + \sum_{i<j}^{N}\frac{g}{(x_i-x_j)^2} - \frac{g'}{\sum_{i<j}(x_i-x_j)^2} + U(\sqrt{\sum_{i<j}(x_i-x_j)^2})\ , \tag{4}$$

where, we have to solve the eigenvalue equation

$$H\psi = E\psi \quad . \tag{5}$$

Here, ψ is taken to be a translation invariant eigenfunction. We restrict our attention to the sector of the configuration space with a definite ordering of the particles,

$$i.e.,\quad x_i \geq x_{i+1} \quad . \tag{6}$$

This can be done in one dimension, since the particles cannot overtake each other because of the mutual repulsive potential. The normalizable solutions of Eq. (5) can be written as

$$\psi(x) = Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}}\ \phi(r)\ P_k(x)\ , \tag{7}$$

where, $Z = \prod_{i<j}^{N}(x_i-x_j)$, $\quad i = 1,2,\cdots N,\quad$ and $a = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+2g}$. Here, $r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i<j}^{N}(x_i-x_j)^2}$ and $P_k(x)$ is a homogeneous, translation invariant, symmetric polynomial of degree k in x_i's, satisfying the generalized Laplace equation

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial {x_i}^2}+2(a+\frac{1}{2})\sum_{i<j}^{N}\frac{1}{(x_i-x_j)}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j})\right]P_k(x)=0 \ . \tag{8}$$

On substituting Eq. (7) in Eq. (5) and making use of Eq. (8), along with the properties of the translation invariance and homogeneity of $P_k(x)$,[1] one finds that $\phi(r)$ satisfies the following differential equation

$$-[\phi''(r)+\{2k+2b+1\}\frac{1}{r}\phi'(r)]-[\frac{g'}{Nr^2}-U(\sqrt{N}r)+E]\phi(r)=0 \ , \tag{9}$$

where $b=\frac{N(N-1)}{2}a+\frac{N(N+1)}{4}-\frac{3}{2}$.

Removing the first derivative term in Eq. (9) by writing,

$$\phi(r)=r^{-(l+1)}\chi(r) \ , \tag{10}$$

we find $\chi(r)$ satisfies the radial Schrödinger equation

$$-\chi''(r)+[\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}-\frac{g'}{Nr^2}+U(\sqrt{N}r)]\chi(r)=E\chi(r) \ , \tag{11}$$

with the identification of $l=k+b-\frac{1}{2}$.

When $U(r)=0$, this problem is similar to that of the Calogero problem with purely inverse square interaction and if $U(r)$ is chosen to be the harmonic potential, the problem reduces to that of the Calogero type with harmonic confinement. Similarly, if $U(r)$ is taken to be of Coulomb form, the problem generalizes the one given in Ref. 6. These two models can be solved for general values of g', provided, it is suitably chosen so that the quantum mechanical problem is well defined in the variable 'r'.[8] In both these examples however, the degeneracy is completely removed unlike the $g'=0$ case.

The energy eigenfunctions and eigenvalues for the harmonic case ($\omega=1$), *i.e.,* when $U=\frac{1}{4N}\sum_{i<j}^{N}(x_i-x_j)^2$ reads,

$$\psi_{n,\lambda_k}=Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}} \ \ y^{\frac{(\lambda_k-l)}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}y}{L_n}^{\lambda_k+\frac{1}{2}}(y) \ P_k(x) \tag{12}$$

and

$$E_{n,k}=2n+\lambda_k+\frac{3}{2} \ . \tag{13}$$

Here $y = \frac{1}{2}r^2$ and

$$\lambda_k = -\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{(k+b)^2 - \frac{g'}{N}} \ . \tag{14}$$

Notice that the energy levels are non-degenerate for finite values of g' and N. In the limit $g' \to 0$, the above result smoothly goes over to the Calogero case. This is analogous to the three dimensional oscillator problem, where the presence of an additional centrifugal term removes the degeneracy.[9]

When $U(r) = -\frac{g''}{\sqrt{\sum_{i<j}^{N}(x_i - x_j)^2}}$ *i.e.,* Coulomb type and $g' \neq 0$, the corresponding eigenvalue problem can be solved analogous to the generalized Calogero system and the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions are given by

$$E_{n,k} = -\frac{g''^2}{4N}\frac{1}{(n + \lambda_k + 1)^2}$$

and

$$\psi_{n,\lambda_k} = Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}} \ \ y^{(\lambda_k - l)} \ \ e^{-\frac{1}{2}y} \ \ {L_n}^{2\lambda_k+1}(y) \ P_k(x) \ \ .$$

respectively. Here $y = \frac{g''^2 r}{2N(n+\lambda_k+1)^2}$; λ_k is given by Eq. (13) and $l = k + b - \frac{1}{2}$. One can immediately see that the energy levels are non-degenerate.

In order to discuss the scattering scenario, one needs to find the positive energy solutions *i.e.,* $E = p^2 > 0$ and their asymptotic behaviour, when all particles are far apart from each other. For the sake of comparison, we briefly outline below the derivation of the scattering phase shifts in the Calogero model. In this case, the positive energy eigenfuctions are given by (using the same notations as in Ref. 1)

$$\psi_k = Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}} r^{-(A+k)} J_{(A+k)} P_k(x) \ \ . \tag{15}$$

The most general stationary eigenfuction can be written as

$$\psi = Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{g(N,k)} c_{kq} r^{-A-k} J_{A+k} P_{kq}(x) \ \ , \tag{16}$$

where, J_b is the Bessel function; $A = \frac{1}{2}(N-3) + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)(a+\frac{1}{2})$ and $p = \sqrt{|E|}$. The asymptotic limit of Eq. (16) is given by

$$\psi \sim \psi^{\mathrm{in}} + \psi^{\mathrm{out}} \ . \tag{17}$$

Here,

$$\psi_{\mathrm{in}} \equiv (\frac{1}{2}\pi pr)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}} r^{-A} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{g(N,k)} c_{kq} e^{i(A+k+\frac{1}{2})\frac{1}{2}\pi - ipr} P_{kq}(x)$$

and

$$\psi_{\mathrm{out}} \equiv (\frac{1}{2}\pi pr)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}} r^{-A} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{g(N,k)} c_{kq} e^{-i(A+k+\frac{1}{2})\frac{1}{2}\pi + ipr} P_{kq}(x) \ .$$

This can also be characterized as an incoming and outgoing plane wave, involving N-particles as

$$\psi_{\mathrm{in}} \equiv c \exp\{i \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i x_i\} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\psi_{\mathrm{out}} \equiv e^{-iA\pi} c \exp\{i \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{N+1-i} x_i\} \ , \tag{19}$$

respectively, by choosing c_{kq}'s appropriately and making use of the properties of symmetry and the homogeneity of $P_{kq}(x)$. Notice that the final momenta, p_i', is given by $p_i' = p_{N+1-i}$. One can also immediately see that, the scattering phase shifts are energy independent.

Following the Calogero case, in our model with $g' \neq 0$ and in the absence of harmonic confinement, the positive energy eigenfunctions are found to be

$$\psi_k = Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}} r^{-(k+b)} J_{\nu_k} P_k(x) \ . \tag{20}$$

Here, J_{ν_k} is the Bessel function; $\nu_k = \sqrt{(k+b)^2 - \frac{g'}{N}}$ and $p = \sqrt{|E|}$. In the asymptotic limit, ψ_k can be written as

$$\psi_k \sim \psi_k^{in} + \psi_k^{out}$$

where

$$\psi_k^{in} \sim (2\pi pr)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}} r^{-(k+b)} e^{i(\nu_k+\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{2} - ipr} P_k(x) \tag{21}$$

and

$$\psi_k^{out} \sim (2\pi pr)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}} r^{-(k+b)} e^{-i(\nu_k+\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{2} + ipr} P_k(x) \ . \tag{22}$$

However, the phase shift for each partial wave in the k channel is $e^{-i\pi\nu_k}$, which is energy dependent. Although, we can write the most general stationary eigenfunction as in Eq. (16), the initial scattering situation characterized by the initial momenta p_i $(i = 1, 2, ..., N)$ can not go over to a final configuration characterized by the final momenta $p'_i = p_{N+1-i}$ unlike in the Calegero model. This is because, all the partial waves with the quantum number k get phase shifted, with phase shifts depending on energy.

Apart from these cases, we observe that, in the radial variable 'r', the reduced Schrödinger equation is not amenable to solution for other cases in the presence of the centrifugal term. At this stage, if one imposes the condition that $g' = Nl(l + 1)$, akin to the CES quantal problems,[7] the corresponding Schrödinger equation reduces to

$$- \chi''(r) + U(\sqrt{N}r) \ \chi(r) = E \ \chi(r) \ . \tag{23}$$

Here, one observes that $U(\sqrt{N}r)$ can be chosen to be any of the known exactly / quasi-exactly solvable potentials,[10] in which case the energy spectra of the full Hamiltonian can be obtained by using the known results.[11] In these cases, the eigenfunctions of the original N body problem can be written as

$$\psi_n(x) = Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}} \ r^{-(l+1)} \ \chi_n(r) \ P_k(x) \ , \tag{24}$$

which is same as Eq. (7) but with a fixed l (hence k).

In this case, it is interesting to note that, once k is fixed, the energy spectrum has no degeneracy. We observe that this property will be a generic feature for all these CES N-body problems.

For the sake of illustration, $U(\sqrt{N}r)$ is chosen as the Rosen-Morse potential.[11] The potential reads,

$$U(r) = (A^2 + B^2 + A\beta\sqrt{N}) \,\mathrm{cosech}^2(\beta\sqrt{N}r) - B(2A + \beta\sqrt{N}) \coth(\beta\sqrt{N}r) \,\mathrm{cosech}(\beta\sqrt{N}r) \, . \tag{25}$$

The eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions are

$$E_n = -(A - n\beta\sqrt{N})^2 \tag{26}$$

and

$$\psi(x) = Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}} \ r^{-(l+1)} \ (y-1)^{\frac{(\lambda-s)}{2}} \ (y+1)^{\frac{-(\lambda+s)}{2}} \ P_n^{(\lambda-s-\frac{1}{2}),(-\lambda-s-\frac{1}{2})}(y) \ P_k(x) \tag{27}$$

respectively. Here $P_n^{\mu,\nu}(y)$'s are the Jacobi polynomials, $y = \cosh\beta\sqrt{N}r$, $\lambda = \frac{B}{\beta\sqrt{N}}$, $s = \frac{A}{\beta\sqrt{N}}$, and $l = k + b - \frac{1}{2}$

The scattering solutions are obtained by analytically continuing n to $(s - \frac{ip}{\beta\sqrt{N}})$, as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi = (y-1)^{\frac{(\lambda-s)}{2}} \qquad (y+1)^{\frac{-(\lambda+s)}{2}} \ \frac{\Gamma(\beta\sqrt{N}+1+s-\frac{ip}{\beta\sqrt{N}})}{n!\Gamma(\beta\sqrt{N}+1)} \times \\ F(\tfrac{ip}{\beta\sqrt{N}} - s, -\tfrac{ip}{\beta\sqrt{N}} - s; \lambda - s + \tfrac{1}{2}; \tfrac{1-y}{2}), \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $F(y)$ is a hypergeometric function. Taking the asymptotic limit of ψ, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k &\sim Z^{a+\frac{1}{2}} \ r^{-(k+b+\frac{1}{2})} \ P_k(x) \ \left[e^{-ipr} + e^{i\delta} \ e^{ipr}\right] \\ &= \psi_k^{\text{in}} + \psi_k^{\text{out}} \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where

$$e^{i\delta} = \frac{2^{-4iq}\Gamma(2iq)\Gamma(-s-iq)\Gamma(\lambda+\frac{1}{2}-iq)}{\Gamma(-2iq)\Gamma(-s+iq)\Gamma(\lambda+\frac{1}{2}+iq)} \ . \tag{30}$$

Here $q = \frac{p}{\beta\sqrt{N}}$ and δ is the scattering phase shift. It is worth noticing that, in this CES potential, $\frac{g'}{r^2}$ term is k dependent and as a result of which the degeneracy in k has been lifted. Although the incoming wave $\psi_{\text{in}}(x)$ can be decomposed into partial waves as in the Calogero case, the phase shift can be obtained only for the specific value of k that appears in the coupling parameter g'. One cannot obtain any further information regarding the scattering of other partial waves unlike the previously considered examples.

To conclude, we have obtained the complete energy spectra for new exactly solvable (ES) as well as a wide class of CES N-body problems in one dimension. In fact, addition of the term '$-\frac{g'}{\sum_{i<j}(x_i-x_j)^2}$' in the potential enabled us to generalize all the known ES, CES and QES one variable quantum mechanical problems into ES or partially solvable N-body

systems in one dimension. The energy non-degeneracy is found to be a generic feature of all the above models, except in the case when $U(r)$ is of harmonic or Coulomb form with $g' = 0$ i.e.,Calogero or Khare case. In ES case, the energy dependent scattering phase shifts are obtained for each partial wave, whereas the phase shift in the CES case is known for only one partial wave.

We also remark that, studying the algebraic structure, as was done in the Calogero model,[12] is of great relevance in order to find the underlying symmetries, if any, for the present models. Construction and analysis of the coherent states[13] is another direction worth exploring, since they will provide a better understanding of the semi-classical behaviour of these quantum mechanical models.

We acknowledge valuable discussions with Profs. A. Khare, V. Srinivasan and S. Chaturvedi. We also thank R.S. Bhalla, E. Hari Kumar and G.V. Rao for useful comments. N.G would like to thank U.G.C (India) and C.N.K to C.S.I.R (India) for financial support through JRF and RA schemes respectively.

References

1. F. Calogero, *Jour. Math. Phys.* **10**, 2191 (1969); ibid **12**, 419 (1971).
2. F.D.M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 635 (1988); B.S. Shastry, ibid **60**, 639 (1988).
3. F.D.M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 937 (1991); M.V.N. Murthy and R. Shankar, ibid **73**, 3331 (1994).
4. B.D. Simons, P.A. Lee and B.L. Altshuler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 64 (1994).
5. B. Sutherland, *Jour. Math. Phys.* **12**, 246 (1971).
6. A. Khare, preprint hep-th 9510096, to appear in *J. Phys.* **A**.
7. A. de Souza Dutra, *Phys. Rev.* **47 A**, R2435 (1993).
8. A. Gangopadhyaya, P.K. Panigrahi and U. Sukhatme, *Jour. Phys.* **A 27**, 4295 (1994).

9. L. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics: Non-relativistic theory*, Oxford Univ. Press (1975).
10. For a review of QES systems, the readers are referred to M.A. Shifman, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys.* **A 4**, 2897 (1989).
11. F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, *Phys. Rep.* **251**, 267 (1995).
12. M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *Phys. Rep.* **71**, 314 (1981); **94**, 6 (1983); A.P. Polychronakos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 703 (1992); L. Brink, T.H. Hansson, S.E. Konstein and M. Vasiliev, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 384**, 591 (1993); H. Ujino and M. Wadati, *Jour. Phys. Soc. Jpn* **64**, 39 (1995); V. Narayanan and M. Sivakumar, preprint hep-th/9510239; N. Gurappa and P.K. Panigrahi, preprint cond-mat/9602059; to appear in *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A**.
13. G.S. Agarwal and S. Chaturvedi, *J. Phys.* **A 28**, 5747 (1995).